PROPERTY REPORT

October 2018



1908 MAIN STREET

Niagara Falls, New York



Matthew Chavez

Niagara-Orleans Regional Land Improvement Corp. (NORLIC) 716-278-8751 • Matthew.Chavez@niagaracounty.com niagaraorleanslandbank.com



Jon Claeys AIA
CJS Architects
716-856-6448 • JClaeys@cjsarchitects.com
cjsarchitects.com



Derek King
Preservation Studios
716-725-6410 • info@preservationstudios.com
preservationstudios.com

The information provided in this report was compiled by CJS Architects in October 2018. Any developer should contact CJS Architects for any questions or concerns regarding its content.

November 6th, 2018

Mr. Robert Richardson
Managing Partner
Niagara Falls Development Fund One
500 Seneca St
Buffalo, New York 14204



Re: Niagara Falls Property/ Building Assessments

Mr. Richardson,

On October 17th & 19th, 2018 CJS Architects, along with representatives from Siracuse Engineers PC, M/E Engineering, and Sienna Environmental Technologies set out to field survey 38 various properties/ buildings in Niagara Falls, NY, with the purpose of providing cursory general conditions reports for each property/ building surveyed. A typical survey lasted less than one hour, and the intent of the reports is to share first impressions of overall conditions only. A more detailed survey of each property will be required to evaluate, verify, and expand upon the initial commentary presented herein. The following is a list of the properties that were to be visited:

1628 Main St	830 Lincoln Pl
1632 Main St	813 Cleveland Ave
1636 Main St	819 Cleveland Ave
1708 Main St	2001 Main St
1802 Main St	2011 Main St
1810 Main St	2019 Main St
1812 Main St	2025 Main St
811 Division Ave	2109 Main St
717 Division Ave	2111 Main St
723 Division Ave	2113 Main St
803 Division Ave	2217 Main St
1643 ½ 8 th St	2637 Main St
1902 Main St	917 Niagara Ave
1908 Main St	915 Niagara Ave
2002 Main St	1509 Main St
2018 Main St	1105 Cleveland Ave
802 Lincoln Pl	1600 Cleveland Ave
808 Lincoln Pl	1010 South Ave
826 Lincoln Pl	1915 10 th St

Attached for your use/ review are individual surveys of each of the properties/ buildings listed above. Please contact our office should you have questions related to any of the information within.

For the purposes of grading various building components/systems, the Structural and Architectural reports utilized the following 1-5 ranking system to evaluate building components/systems:

- 1. Building component/ system completely failing, recommend complete removal, replacement, and/or demolition.
- 2. Building component/ system in extreme disrepair, reuse would require extensive cost/labor but could be accomplished.
- 3. Building component/system in in a state of general disrepair, reuse feasible depending on costs.
- 4. Building component/ system in generally good condition, reuse would require little repair.
- 5. Building component/system in good condition, requires no repair.

And the MEP and Hazardous Materials reports utilized the following grading system:

Good: Building component/system in good condition and requires little to no work

Fair: Building component/system in working condition but does require maintenance or some

upgrade

Poor: Building component/system is in need of replacement.

Respectfully,

Jonathan Claeys, AIA

1908 MAIN STREET

Parcel Info

One structureLot Size: 4,514 SF

Existing Structure: Vacant Retail/Residential

Year Built: 1962/1968Structure GFA: 7,802 SFStructural Height: Two Story

Zoning: C2-A

• Mixed-Use Commercial

STRUCTURAL

The existing building at this address is a single-story structure. The roof is constructed with wood rafters spanning between a grid work of steel beams. The beams are supported by clay tile bearing walls on the north and south sides and steel columns at the interior and along the Main Street storefront.

The steel beam over the Main Street storefront was observed to have several holes burned thru its web. The holes will need to be reinforced with steel plates.

The remainder of the roof framing appeared to be in good condition with minimal repairs required.

A more detailed structural assessment will be required should this structure be renovated. The additional assessment would include determination of floor live load capacities as well as the criteria for seismic retrofit should the proposed renovation change the building occupancy to a higher risk category.

ARCHITECTURAL

The building exterior is in very good repair, the exterior insulated finish system (EIFS) above the Main St storefront shows no signs of water damage. The aluminum storefront along Main St. appears to be in good condition.

The interior of the north exterior wall shows signs of fire damage and water infiltration. Steel roof framing (beams and girders) supporting wood infills seem to be oversized. Portions of the roof wood infills are without insulation, it is unknown whether or not the roof is also insulated above the deck. Existing interior partitions and finishes are minimal and have been mostly removed.

This one-story structure could have many future retail or business uses. Any such use would likely include completely gutting all interior partitions and finishes and installing new to suit the occupant. Roofing insulation should be fully evaluated and additional insulation added as needed. The capacity of the steel roof framing could be evaluated to determine if adding a second floor to the building would be feasible or practical. The fire damage observed would seem to indicate that the building did have a second floor at one point in time.

MEPFP

Observations of the building's MEP systems overall appear to be in generally poor condition. Potential renovations would require significant known upgrades in order to meet current codes. Property is vacant and has been left in disrepair. Re-use of MEP systems is not feasible.

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

<u>Potential Asbestos Hazards:</u> Based on the age of the original build and onsite observations, multiple materials are likely to be asbestos containing, including:

- Gypsum Board
- Joint Compound
- Textured Plaster
- Wall Panel Mastic
- Parging
- Fire Door Insulation



- Aircell Pipe Insulation, a known asbestos-containing material
- Floor Mastic
- Gypsum Fire Brick
- Exterior Insulation Finishing System (EFIS)

<u>Potential Lead Based Paint Hazards</u>: Based on the age of the building all paints/surfaces are suspect to contain Lead Based Paints. Paint in the basement was in poor condition.

<u>Potential Microbial Growth:</u> The floor located at the first floor near the basement stairway has been subject to various environmental conditions causing it to deteriorate and rot.

Other Issues: None.

<u>Potential Hazardous Material Remediation</u>: Known asbestos-containing materials were observed during the site visit. Further testing would be needed prior to any renovation work to determine the presence of asbestos, lead based paint, microbial growth. The majority of the building did not have visible significant damage to the floors, walls, or ceilings (with exception to the isolated area by the stairway). Based on the general condition of the building most components likely would not need remediation/renovations, depending on the scope of work proposed and testing results. Any plumbing and/or mechanical renovation work within the basement areas would likely need remediation of pipe insulation.

SEE ATTACHED APPENDICES FOR INDIVIDUAL FIELD REPORTS BY TRADE



Catherine M. Styn, PE | Dale T. Cich, PE | Darren K. Geibel, PE | Principals Julie A. Marwin, PE | Associate

Property Address: 1908 Main Street

Niagara Falls, New York

Assessment Date: October 17, 2018

Assessment Type: Visual observations only

General Building Construction

The existing building at this address is a single-story structure. The roof is constructed with wood rafters spanning between a grid work of steel beams. The beams are supported by clay tile bearing walls on the north and south sides and steel columns at the interior and along the Main Street storefront.

Structural Element Condition Ranking

- Clay tile bearing walls– 4
- Wood roof rafters 4
- Steel beams and columns 4

Additional Comments & Observations

The steel beam over the Main Street storefront was observed to have several holes burned thru its web. The holes will need to be reinforced with steel plates.

The remainder of the roof framing appeared to be in good condition with minimal repairs required.

A more detailed structural assessment will be required should this structure be renovated. The additional assessment would include determination of floor live load capacities as well as the criteria for seismic retrofit should the proposed renovation change the building occupancy to a higher risk category.



BUILDING SURVEY



PROPERTY EVALUATED: 1908 Main St

Niagara Falls, NY 14305

SURVEY DATE: 10.17.2018

CATEGROY	DESCRIPTION	CONDITION (1-5)	ADDITIONAL NOTES
	SITE ANALYSIS		
Neighborhood Type	Commercial		
Access From Street	Pedestrian access		
Parking	Street parking		
Walks	On (1) sides of building (East)		
	CONSTRUCTION TYPE, SYSTEMS, F	INISHES	
Construction Type	III - Mix of combustible & non-combustible		
Foundations	Stone	5	
Frame	Steel Framed w/wood infills & masonry ext. bearing walls	5	
Roof	Not observed	?	
Exterior Walls	Masonry	3	
Windows & Doors	New alum. Storefrotn along Main St	4	
Interiors			
Walls	Drywall	2	Water/fire damage
Ceilings	None	N/A	
Floors	None	N/A	
	ACCESSIBILITY		
Elevator(s)	No		
Plumbing	No accessible plumbing facilities were observed		
Building Access	Yes - from Main St.		

See attached photos



PROPERTY EVALUATED: 1908 Main St

Niagara Falls, NY 14305







PROPERTY EVALUATED: 1908 Main St

Niagara Falls, NY 14305







PROPERTY EVALUATED: 1908 Main St

Niagara Falls, NY 14305







PROPERTY EVALUATED: 1908 Main St

Niagara Falls, NY 14305





MEP Building Survey



Buildi	ng Name:	1908 Main St.				Date:	10/17/	18			
Occu	pancy Type:	Commercial									
Squa	re Feet: <u>7,8</u>	02	Stories Tall:	2		Ye.	ar Built:	196	2/198	86	
<u>Gener</u>	ral Overall C	ondition:									
enova		building's MEF equire significa 1 <u>s</u>							ition.	Potential	
1.	Heating Sys	tem: Furnace in	Basement o	lucted	, supply no R	A duct;	ductwoi	k at 1	st Flo	or ceiling	
	w/o furnace/	'RTU			Condition	n: Poo	r <u>X</u>	Fair		Good	
2.	A/C System:	None									
•					Condition	n: Poo	r	Fair		Good	
3.	Ventilation S	System: None									
•					Condition	n: Poo	r	Fair		Good	
4.	Temperature	Controls: No	ne								
-					Condition	n: Poo	r	Fair		Good	
Plumb	oing/Fire Pro	tection Observ	ations								
5.	Domestic Wa	ter Service:_3/4 i	n. service in	Base	ment thru slat	with	Booster	Pump	: Y	N	Χ
		Vatts 009M3QT w preventer	BFP: Y	<u>X</u> N	I Conditi	on: Poo	r	Fair	Χ	_ Good	
6.	Fire Water Se	ervice: Non	e				Fire	Pump:	Υ	N	
			BFP: Y	^	Conditi	on: Poo	r	Fair		Good	
7.	Natural Gas S	Service: 1-1/2 i	n. service in	Base	ment, setup fo	or two (2	?) meter	s, only	y one	(1) mete	r
	present, 1 in	. distribution pip	oing from eac	ch	Conditio	n: Poo	r	Fair	Χ	Good	
8.	Domestic Hot	Water System: _	None								
					Conditio	n: Poo	r	Fair		Good	
9.	Sanitary Sew	er System: Mos	tly PVC abov	e Ba	sement slab;	good co	ndition,	cast i	ron a	ppears to)
	be in poor c	ondition			Conditio	n: Poo	r	Fair	Χ	Good	
10.	Storm Water	Sewer/Roof Drair	age System:	Not c	bserved						
					Conditio	n: Poo	r	Fair		Good	
11.	Plumbing Fixt	ures: Appea	r non-operat	ional,	many have b	een rem	noved				
					Conditio	n: Poo	r <u>X</u>	Fair		Good	
12.	Sprinkler/Star	ndpipe System: _	None								
					Conditio	n: Poo	r	Fair		Good	

MEP Building Survey



Electrical Observations

13.	Electrical Service Overhead Underground	X Mete	r Location	Inside X	Outside
	Voltage: 208 X 240 480 Other Amp	acity: 100	225	X 400	Other
	Two (2) meters	Condition:	Poor X	_ Fair	Good
14.	Electrical Distribution: Fuses Breakers X	Cutler	Hammer		
		-	·	_ Fair	Good
15.	Backup Power: Gas Diesel Battery				
			·	_ Fair	_ Good
16.	Lighting: Incandescent in Basement. Abandone				
		_	Poor X	_ Fair	Good
17.	Emergency Lighting: Emergency battery pack and		- v		
	Tal/Data Talashara and Israel Israel is Decree	_	Poor X	_ Fair	_ Good
18.	Tel/Data: Telephone punch down block in Basem		5 V	F.··.	2 1
10	Fire Alarm Custom: FCL Carios 4500	Condition:	Poor X	_ Fair	Good
19.	Fire Alarm System: ESL Series 1500	Candition	Door V	Coir	Cood
20	CO Detection: None	Condition.	P001 A	_ Faii	G00u
∠∪.		Condition:	Door	Egir	Good
21	Other Systems:			_ raii	Good
۷۱.	Other Systems:			Fair	Good
		_ Condition.	1 001	_ 1 all	0000
Addit	ional Comments/ Code Issues				
Prop	erty is vacant and has been left in disrepair. Re-use	e of MFP sv	stems is no	t feasible	
1 100	orty is vasain and has been for in disrepair. The ass	O OI IVIEI O		t loudible.	



81 Fall St., Suite 4 | Seneca Falls NY 13148 | 315.257.0270

1908 Main Street – Assessment Date of Site Visit: October 17, 2018

<u>Brief Description of Property</u>: A single story commercial building built in 1929, with 4 spaces throughout.

<u>Potential Asbestos Hazards:</u> Based on the age of the original build and onsite observations, multiple materials are likely to be asbestos containing, including:

- Gypsum Board
- Joint Compound
- Textured Plaster
- Wall Panel Mastic
- Parging
- Fire Door Insulation
- Aircell Pipe Insulation, a known asbestos-containing material
- Floor Mastic
- Gypsum Fire Brick
- Exterior Insulation Finishing System (EFIS)

<u>Potential Lead Based Paint Hazards</u>: Based on the age of the building all paints/surfaces are suspect to contain Lead Based Paints. Paint in the basement was in poor condition.

<u>Potential Microbial Growth:</u> The floor located at the first floor near the basement stairway has been subject to various environmental conditions causing it to deteriorate and rot.

Other Issues: None.

<u>Potential Hazardous Material Remediation</u>: Known asbestos-containing materials were observed during the site visit. Further testing would be needed prior to any renovation work to determine the presence of asbestos, lead based paint, microbial growth. The majority of the building did not have visible significant damage to the floors, walls, or ceilings (with exception to the isolated area by the stairway). Based on the general condition of the building most components likely would not need remediation/renovations, depending on the scope of work proposed and testing results. Any plumbing and/or mechanical renovation work within the basement areas would likely need remediation of pipe insulation.